App. F. IX-4

Previous References P (1927-28 Law.)

File No. 33-27

Serial Nos. 1–3

Later References

General and Revenue Secretariat.

Serial Nos.

Part B for January 1929

SUBJECT

Notice on behalf of Dodda Lowda of Arakalgud
Rajatarangini Devinda Pandian.

B.J. Gopalan
15/11/28

989
517/3

App. F. 111—2]

General and Revenue Secretariat. | File No. | of 192

Serial No.

Issued no 538 d 27/12/27, calling for a report on the notice.
Reminder Issued.
Mecs
9/2/28
Apr 20/2

Issues 3/1

Issued 4650 d 15/2/28

Reminder Issued
Mecs
17/3/28
Apr 19/3

SWS 17/2/25

(seen) 19/3

appd
Sd
19-3
WSM
19/3

Issued 5453 d 20/3/28

Issues 11804 26/3/28

Reminder Issued
Mecs
11/4/28
Apr 12/4

WSM 12/4

Issued 6121 d 14/4/28

Issues 17/4/3

From the Railway Engineer no 5572 d 20/4/28 to Secretariat Engineer no 45-8 pm d 27-4-28 Secretariat Engineer with enclosures — which give a full account of the case and the complainant does not seem to be valid
Issued for perusal. Under the circumstances, the claim appears to be unfounded — a suit has not been filed so far. If filed it may be awaited.
Mecs
24/4/28
Apr 28/4

(seen) WSM 23/4

Issues 24/4/25

Issued

1/5 Sd 28/4 M.

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

WD 6324—GPB—60,000—24-5-27

5247

App. F. III—2]

General and Revenue Secretariat.

Law File No. 33 of 1927

Serial No.

Letter no: 9636 dated 25/4/28 from the chief Engineer of Mysore informing that the original of this office letter no: 3538 of 27-12-1927 was transferred to the chief Engineer, K.R.S. Works for disposal.

A reply thereto already been received from the Ch Engr KR.S & orders have been passed to await the Suit, if any, to be filed - This letter requires no action & may be filed, & the Suit awaited.

Submitted for perusal.

Secy) 28/4

28/4/28

Secy 30/4.

Sec 1/5/28

B 7.1.29

PP. F. VI. Gl.]

UBJECT.....

.....

.....

Section Law

File No. 33-27

Serial No. 2

No. 3538 (1)

Diary No. of letter replied to .....

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

the 192

To

The Chief Engineer,

ate of issue 7/12/27

Signed by M Ry

* to be kept pending till Sept

Sir

I have the honour to enclose herewith a notice received from M. P. Rao, Esqr Pleader, Mysore on behalf of Doddegowda of Kalajuppey Seringapatam H.K stating that Paces of his land were acquired without notice and without allowing Ragi Crop to be removed and claiming Compensation at 600 Rs per acre and Rs 500 for damage to stone fences and Rs 150 for transporting the slabs.

A Report on the facts of the case to Kindly be forwarded in a fortnight Requested at an over early date,

I have the honour to be Sir Your most obedient servant

Dy Secy

List of enclosures.....

Copied by.....

Examined by .....

WD 0562 -GPB—31,000—17-8-25

20/12

APP. F. VI. Gl.]

SUBJECT.....

.....

.....

Section

File No.

Serial No.

No. 4650

Diary No. of letter replied to.....

To

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

the *192*

The Chief Engineer,

Date of issue 17/2/28

Signed by M. N. S

Sir,

I am directed to request an early reply ~~to this Department~~ to this office No. 3538 d. 27/12/27, forwarding a petition on behalf of Doddesinda Kalegowda, Seriangepalya ~~stating that lands of his~~ were argued until Kharif and rabi crops to be reaped ~~and claiming~~ compensation at 60 Rs per acre and 50 Rs for damage to the fencing and 150 Rs for transporting ~~his~~, for all dry lands, and to request that the ~~report called for~~ above may kindly be expedited.

I have

List of enclosures.....

Copied by.....

Examined by.....

WD 01265—GPB—31,000— 24-12-25

Apr 1072

Secy to Govt
Revenue

A, P. F. VI. G1]

SUBJECT.....

.....

.....

3

Section Law

File No.

Serial No.

No. 6121

Diary No. of letter replied to.....

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

the *192*

To The Chief Engineer
Jaffna

Date of issue 14/4/28

Signed by..... W. S.

Sir,

I am directed to request an early reply to this letter No 33-38 d 27/12/27 ~~and reminder No P 665 d 15/2/28 and No 1783 d 20/3/28~~ in the matter of the notice issued to Mr P Ramanathan Proctor, Pleader, Mayor on Govt's behalf of Doddyanda of Arasakulam - Seruvawila Talaik, in the matter of the acquisition of his land without notice &c

I have &c

Secy to Govt

Land

List of enclosures.....

Copied by .....

Examined by .....

over

WD0325—GPB—80,000—26-5-27

14/4/28

Immediate

5512

5173 1927

No. K.S.S. / Enc. No. 4

OFFICE OF THE CHIEF ENGINEER, AND SECRETARY, KRISHNARAJASAGARA & ELECTRIC DEPARTMENTS.

Dated Bangalore, 20 APRIL *192* 8.

5

FROM

K. R. SESHACHAR, ESQ., B.A., B.E., C.E.,
Chief Engineer,
Krishnarajasagara Works,
Bangalore.

To

B. Nagappa, Esq., Bar-at-Law.,

Secretary to Government,

Law Department, Bangalore.

Sir,

With reference to your letter No.P.3538 dated 27th December 1927, forwarding a notice received from Mr. P. Rangaswami Iyengar, Pleader, Mysore, on behalf of Doddegowda of Aralaguppey, Seringapatam Taluk, in regard to two acres of his land acquired out of S.No.112, I have the honour to forward herewith copy of letter No.453 of 7th current from the Executive Engineer No.II Irwin Canal Division together with its enclosures which give full account of the case. The complaint does not seem valid.

2. The notice is herewith returned.

I have the honour to be,

S i r,

Your most obedient servant,

K. R. Seshachar

Chief Engineer,
Krishnarajasagara Works.

A.N.18th.

2989 P 927.

By regd post
From Bor.
14/12

3017
18 28

14/12

Law 14/12

To

The Secretary to the Government of Mysore,
Bangalore.

From.
P. Rangaswami Iyengar, B.A., B.L.,
Pleader, Sivarampet, Mysore.

Sir,

I have the instruction from my client Kaley-gowda son of Doddey Gowda resident of Aralaguppey village Kyathanahalli Hobli, Seringapatam Taluq to bring to your kind attention the following:-

1. That my client's land measuring two acres with Survey No.112 and situated adjacent to the village site Aralaguppey was acquired by the High Level Channel Division through the Land Acquisition Officer without any notice being issued to my client.

2. That the ragi crop standing on the said land at the time of acquisition was not permitted to be cut inspite of repeated requests to the authorities to grant him two or three days time for the crop being cut.

3. That the land had been fenced all round with rough stone slabs and that twenty-five of them were damaged by the Departmental workmen.

4. That the cost of transporting the slabs has been borne by my client and that it amounted to Rs.150/-.

7

5. That he has not been awarded his compensation amounts and that he claims land compensation at the rate of Rs.600/- per acre. But compensation of Rs.250/- that he further claims a sum of Rs.50/ cost of damaged slabs and the cost of transporting skabs namely Rs.150/- as mentioned above.

Please take notice that if the amount menticned herein are not paid within reasonable time my client will put the matter before proper tribunal.

~~I have the honour to~~ be

~~Sir~~,

Yours ~~obedient~~ly,

P. Ramaswamy Aiyangar

Advocate

Mysore.

3-12-27.

8

Letter No.453 dated the 7th April 1928, from the Executive Engineer, No.2 Irwin Canal Division, French Rocks, to the Chief Engineer, Krishnarajasagara Works, Bangalore.

With reference to your No.5023 dated 27th March 1928 regarding the acquisition of two acres of land in S.No.112 of Aralkuppe Village of Kyathanahalli Hobli belonging to one Kaley Gowda son of Dodda Gowda, I have the honour to submit herewith copies of No.1 Sub Division --- Officer's and the concerned Section Officer's reports in the matter along with mahazir signed by a few ryots of the village taken by the Section Officer for favour of perusal.

From the reports it is seen that the complainant was given 3 or 4 days' time to remove from the above land crops if any and that he failed to do so. But as the labourers brought from Nellor side with heavy advances had to be immediately engaged on this saddle work, the excavation work was actually begun after inspection of the spot by the Executive Engineer and the Special Land Acquisition Officer and after a promise to the complainant that he would be given proper compensation for the land acquired and any standing crop in the usual course was made. In as much as the complainant himself is reported to have --- personally helped in removing the fencing stones, it is to be seen that he was agreeable to the Department starting the excavation work in the above land immediately.

The requisition for the acquisition of the said land was included in the statement sent by this office to the Special Land Acquisition Officer in this office No.337 dated 21-9-1927 pertaining to lands to be taken up in aralkuppe village according to the deviated Channel line. Actual excavation was started in the land on 24-10-1927. Long before this date, also temporary mutchalikas from the owners of lands were taken by the Amildar, Seringapatam Taluk as was done in the case of lands in other villages throughout the Canal line. This is a unique instance in which a frivolus complaint is made. The Land Acquisition Officer has besides inspected the spot many a time and no representa-

tion

representation was made regarding any loss etc. In fact the complainant is put to the least loss in the matter of crops as could be seen from the Mahazar executed by the ryots wherein the Patel and others aver --- that there was no crop on this land at the time of excavation as on other lands.

Letter No.631 dated 24th January 1928, from No.1 Sub Division Officer, Haravoo to the Executive Engineer, No.2 Irwin Canal Division, French Rocks.

With reference to your Memo No.59 dated 20th January 1928, I beg to submit herewith the report from the Sectional Officer of Aralkuppe Section and also a Mahazar from the Patel and other ryots of Aralkuppe Village for your kind perusal. The report explains in detail the circumstances under which work was started in the land under question. Before work was begun, the ryots like the others was also given a promise to the effect that crop compensation would be paid for this year and suitable steps have been taken in that direction already. The other points are fully dealt with in the report accompanying.

Letter dated 24th January 1928 from the Section Officer, Haravoo to the Sub Division Officer, No.1 Sub Division, Haravoo.

With reference to his Memo No.59 dated 20th January 1928 from the Executive Engineer regarding the petition of Kaley Gowda, son of Dodde Gowda the owner of the land bearing survey No.112 of Aralkuppe I beg to submit the following report.

As the Canal line runs in the land bearing survey No.112 an extent of 1 acre 28 guntas has got to be accuired for which the necessary requisition has been submitted on the 13th September 1927. As the work in that portion was of a difficult nature the depth of cut ranging between 35' to 49', special labour was recruited for that particular work from Nellore

9

paying heavy advances and so it was taken up first.

The statement of Kaley Gowda that he was granted two or three days' time for the crop being cut is false since the Nellore woddars working in that portion reached the work spot on the 20th October 1927 performed the pooja on the same day and actually commenced the work on the 24th October 1927 thus giving an interval of four days during which time the crop could have been cut if there was any at all. In the adjoining fields also work was commenced similarly and the ryots of Aralkuppe gave their consent for the work to be started after promises were held out by the Executive Engineer and the --- Special Land Acquisition Officer personally that crop compensation would also be awarded in addition to the land Compensation for the crop standing in the land at the time of commencement of the work. The statement for the same has already been submitted.

As regards the rough stone slabs fencing referred to only the slabs standing across the Canal line by the side of Kannambadi Lingaraja Chatram road had to be removed to give free access to the work spot for the workmen and the inspection officers. In spite of repeated intimations to the owner of the land to get the slabs removed no action was taken. Finally with the idea of reducing the cost and also trouble on the part of the party concerned, departmental coolies were engaged and the slabs were removed one by one carefully under my personal supervision. The owner of the land also voluntarily co-operated with the departmental workmen in removing the slabs and not the least damage was caused to any of the slabs removed.

Lastly the fact that the transporting charges of the slabs removed has amounted to Rs.150/- is baseless and unfounded as the slabs are even now lying undamaged and undisturbed in the very position in which they were left by the departmental workmen --- between his land and the road. The above facts have been supported by the statement executed before me by the people of Aralkuppe ✓ --- including the patel who inspected the land and the slabs lying

there on the 23rd January 1928.

Suitable compensation may be awarded to the party in consideration of the above facts.

" Copies "

Krishnamurthy

for Chief Engineer,
Krishnarajasagara Works.

15/4

A.E. 18th.